

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,403	09/21/2004	Shinichiro Yamada	7217/69210	7217/69210 8844	
23432	7590 10/03/200	•	EXAMINER BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
	DUNHAM, LLP UE OF THE AMERIC	.s			
	I, NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/508,403	YAMADA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
71 1/4/11 10/2 0.4.7.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	David M. Brunsman	1755			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing d - If NO period for reply is specified above, t - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 0	OM THE MAILING D r the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statute three months after the mailin	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on	_·	,			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
		ince except for formal matters	•	erits is		
closed in accordance with	n the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are obj 8) □ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdra wed. ted. ected to.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	· ·	· ·	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request the	nat any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is		tion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached C		• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	.*					
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certified application from the	None of: the priority document the priority document the priority document ted copies of the prior tell International Burea	ts have been received. Its have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received.	lication No ceived in this National Sta	nge		
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date Relept and Trademate Office.	ng Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/508,403

Art Unit: 1755

JP 2003-192929 and JP 2003-165917 cited in the PCT application upon which this application is based do not appear to have been published before the effective filing date of this application.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6107378 in view of US 6512174 and US 5872169.

The '378 patent teaches a method for forming housings for electronic appliances by compounding a biodegradable resin such as polylactic acid and a hydrolysis inhibitor such as an isocyanate or a carbodiimide. See examples 4-6. The difference between that patent and the instant claims is the addition of a flame retardant such as high purity magnesium hydroxide having a BET surface area less than 5 m2/g. The '174 patent teaches that flame retardants including magnesium hydroxide can be added to similar resins. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add a magnesium hydroxide flame retardant to the composition of the '378 patent for that reason. The '169 patent teaches a process for making substantially pure magnesium hydroxide that performs exceptionally well as a flame retardant for resin having a BET surface area of 0.9-3.5 m2/g. See examples 1-14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a magnesium hydroxide like that of the '169 patent because it teaches they perform particularly well.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6107378 in view of US 6512174 and US 2001/0018487.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,403 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

The '378 patent teaches a method for forming housings for electronic appliances by compounding a biodegradable resin such as polylactic acid and a hydrolysis inhibitor such as an isocyanate or a carbodiimide. See examples 4-6. The difference between that patent and the instant claims is the addition of a flame retardant. The '174 patent teaches that flame retardants can be added to similar resins. Paragraph 57 of US 2001/0018487 teaches the use of 5-40 micron silica in making flame retardant resin compositions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add 5-40 micron silica to the composition of the '378 patent because the prior art teaches that it is useful in formulation flame retardant resin compositions and such as desired in the compounding of compositions for making housings for electronic appliances.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Brunsman whose telephone number is 571-272-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,403 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DMB

m